

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/485,559	02/11/00	BOEHMER		S	FL10	49
		IM22/1009		EXAMINER		
JAMES E SHIPLEY				MANOHARAN, V		
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS & COMPANY LEGAL PATENTS WILMINGTON DE 19898				ART U	TINL	PAPER NUMBER
				1764		
				DATE MAILED: 10/09/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Legal Instruments Examiner

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023 I.
www.usplo.gov

APPU	CATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	,		•	_		EXAMINER
•					L	
··		Aller Aller Mark			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• • • •			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			
1					DATE MAILED	
1						
	Not	tice of Non-	Complia	nt Amendment (3	7 CFR 1.12	1)
		· . · · . · .	1/0			
	The amendmat required un G. 77, Sept. 19	der 37 CFR 1.121,	as amended on	is considered non-compliant b September 8, 2000 (see 65 Fed.	Reg. 54603, Sept.	8, 2000, and
80		,			1 (5)(4)(5)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14	1. The amend 37 CFR 1.12		ude a clean vers	ion of the replacement paragr	aph(s)/section(s).	
	2. The amend 37 CFR 1.12		ude a marked-u	p version of the replacement p	aragraph(s)/sectio	n(s).
	3. The amen	dment does not incl	ude a clean vers	ion of the amended claim(s). 3	7 CFR 1.121(c)(1)	(i).
	4. The amend	dment does not incl	ude a marked-u	version of the amended claim	(s). 37 CFR 1.121	(cX1)(ii)
	5. Other	the She	ngle	to the sp	receff	ention Shir
	ACC	IARY AMENDMI	FNT: Unless:	applicant re-submits the preli	minary amendm	ent in compliance
	with revised	137 CFR 1.121 wence without entry	ithin ONE MO	ONTH of the mail date of thi lly proposed preliminary am MONTH time limit is not ex	s letter, examinatenders. This ne	tion on the merits
	action unde	t 33 O.S.C. 132, a				
	fide, applica	ant is given a TIM	E PERIOD of is longer, with	TION: Since the above ment ONE (1) MONTH or THIS hin which to supply the omise HIS TIME PERIOD MAY B	RTY (30) DAYS sion or correction	from the mailing n in order to
For yo	our conven P Bookma	iience, attache rk Bulletin on	d to this co "Simplifie	rrespondence is a copy d Amendment Practice	of an inform: ").	ational flyer

Changes to the Patent Rules

October 20, 2000

Volume 1, Issue 3

This is the third in a series of Patent News Bulletins to assist you in keeping up to date with significant rule changes which affect your area. Keep this copy to use as a bookmark for your present MPEP, or view this bulletin again on the USPTO Website.



Simplified Amendment Practice.

Replacement paragraphs/sections/claims to be used. 37 CFR 1.121

The rule package
"Changes to the Patent
Business Goals - Final
Rule," published in the
Federal Register on
September 8, 2000, 65
Fed. Reg. 54603 (Sept.
8, 2000), and the Official
Gazette on
September 19, 2000,
1238 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 77 (September 19,
2000). The PBG rule
package makes a number
of revisions to Title 37.

The entire final rule may be found at the USPTO Website at http:// www.uspto.gov/web/ offices/dcom/olia/pbg/ index.html.

Areas and individuals primarily affected by this rule change include:
(1)Patent Examiners and Tech Support Staff in the Technology Centers
(2) Office of Patent Publication

Any questions related to this change in practice should be directed to Joe Narcavage, Special Projects Exr., (703-305-1795) or Liz. Dougherty, Legal Advisor, (703-306-3156) OPLA.

Mandatory compliance with the revised rule is not required until March 1, 2001. It is suggested that applicants adopt the revised procedures on or after November 7, 2000, in order to adjust to the changes in amendment practice.

Under the new amendment practice, amendments to the specification must be made by the submission of clean new or replacement paragraph(s), section(s), specification, or claim(s). This practice will provide a specification (including claims) in clean, or substantially clean, form that can be effectively captured and converted by optical character recognition (OCR) scanning during the patent printing process.

The new practice requires applicant to provide, in addition to the clean version of a replacement paragraph/section/claim, a marked-up version using applicant's choice of a conventional

marking system to indicate the changes, which will aid the examiner in identifying the changes that have been made. The marked-up version must be based on the previous version and indicate (by markings) how the previous version has been modified to produce the clean version submitted in the current amendment. The term "previous version" means the version of record in the application as originally filed or from a previously entered amendment.

The following format is suggested in an amendment paper: (1) a clean version of each replacement paragraph/section/claim with clear instructions for entry; (2) starting on a separate page, any remarks/arguments (37 CFR 1.111); and (3) starting on a separate page, a marked-up

version entitled "Version with markings to show changes made."

Applicants will also be able to submit a clean set of all pending claims, consolidating all previous versions of pending claims from a series of separate amendments into a single clean version in a single amendment paper. This submission of a clean version of all of the pending claims will be construed as directing the cancellation of all previous versions of any pending claims. No marked-up version will be required to accompany the clean version where no changes other than the consolidation are being made.

The amended rule encourages issuance of applications with an examiner's amendment without practitioners/applicants having to file a formal amendment. Additions or deletions of subject matter in the specification, including the claims, may continue to be

K

made in an examiner's amendment at the time of allowance by instructions to make any change at a precise location in the specification or the claims. An examiner's amendment may incorporate a printed copy of a fax or email amendment submitted by applicant. Only that part of the e-mail or fax directed to a clean version, or a portion of, a paragraph/claim to be added should be printed and attached to the examiner's amendment, with a paper copy of the entire e-mail or fax being entered in the file. The electronic version of the e-mail is not required to be saved once the printed e-mail (and any attachments) becomes part of the application file record.

MPEP 714+ & 1302.04

paragraph/claim replacement in clean form.

Amendment by

公